

Appln. No. 09/439,332  
Amendment dated January 20, 2004  
Reply to Office Action mailed November 21, 2000

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 4-11, 13-22 remain in this application. Claims 2-3 and 12 have been cancelled.

The Examiner's rejections will be considered in the order of their occurrence in the Office Action.

**Paragraphs 2 and 3 of the Office Action**

Claims 13, 19, 20 and 22 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 (second paragraph) as being indefinite.

The above amendments to the claims are believed to clarify the requirements of the rejected claims, especially the particular points identified in the Office Action.

Withdrawal of the §112 rejection of claims 13, 19, 20 and 22 is therefore respectfully requested.

**Paragraph 4 of the Office Action**

Claims 1 and 4-11 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Appln. No. 09/439,332  
Amendment dated January 20, 2004  
Reply to Office Action mailed November 21, 2000

**CONCLUSION**

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, early reconsideration and allowance of this application are most courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

KAARDAL & ASSOCIATES, PC

By   
Ivar M. Kaardal (Reg. No. 29,812)  
KAARDAL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.  
3500 South First Avenue Circle, Suite 250  
Sioux Falls, SD 57105-5802  
(605)336-9446 FAX (605)336-1931  
e-mail [patent@kaardal.com](mailto:patent@kaardal.com)

Date: 1-20-04